



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_37246
Title of the Manuscript:	Decolourisation of the textile dye Methyl Red from aqueous solution using Sugarcane Bagasse Pith
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The results and discussion part needs to be improved particularly, in respect of experiments for effect of adsorbent dose, contact time and the temperature.	
Minor REVISION comments	L/9-10: sentence is not properly written. L/12: Either "optimum" or "maximum" may be used. Not both. L/13: Solution may be used instead of medium. It is not clear why Word "amended" is used. Section 2.1: Particle size of adsorbent may be given. Section 2.2: Source of particulars/specification of dye may be mentioned. Also, please mention colour index and manufacturer of dye. The words "collection of" may be removed from Section 2.1 and 2.2 headings. . Section 2.3: Unit of adsorbent dose may be mention as g/L .L/68: Value of rpm is missing. L/71: "percentage" may be written as "percentage." L/76: "a range" may be written as "range of wavelength". Value(s) of pick(s) may also be mentioned, at which the absorbance was measured. Section 3.1/Fig 1: The adsorption of dye decreases with doses above 500 g/L. However, no explanation has been given. Similarly, the results of experiments for effect of contact time and temperature have not been analyzed/discussed. The Discussion section is sans S. No. L/218-120&Table1: The maximum possible dye removal appears to be only 83.15% and not 86% as evaluated by the author(s). Fig. 01 etc may be written as Fig. 1. Fig. 1 to 5: Experimental conditions may be mentioned with figure caption/title. Fig. 6: Dose and initial dye concentration may be mentioned with Figure caption/title.	Ok
Optional/General comments	Nil	